

[27th February 1928]

X

THE JAGGAMPETA A AND D ESTATES IMPARTIBLE ESTATES BILL.

The ZAMINDAR OF GOLLAPALLI :—" Sir, I beg to introduce my Bill^a to declare the Jaggampeta A and D estates impartible. I also move that the Bill be taken into consideration. In so doing, I wish to say that Jaggampeta is a part of ancient zamindari of Peddapuram estate which was soon after the permanent settlement broken into parts; and a portion of it, Rayavaram, Dontamaru and Kirlampudi, has already been declared impartible. This is a Bill to declare another part of the same Zamindari of Peddapuram called Jaggampeta A and D estates to be impartible. These estates pay an annual peishkush of about Rs. 27,000, and in point of income, rank as the 25th among the impartible estates of this Province of which there are about 130. This zamindari is situated at the foot of the hills and adjoining agency tracts.

"It may be said that the second son of the proprietrix will be debarred from inheriting the property. I submit to this House that the property will be managed only by an individual to keep it in tact. The net proceeds will be shared by the other brothers also in shape of fixed annuities. Thus the younger brothers will be free to attend to other avocations of life and be able to serve the motherland without being sluggards spending their inherited property which was acquired by their ancestors by spilling much blood. This arrangement would facilitate the younger brothers to apply their energies to study and to the service of the mother country. I am not unaware that the Act does not safeguard fully the interests of the younger brothers, but that amendment will be endeavoured to be introduced in this hon. House at a later time. So far I have given only general outlines. This estate is a *sree danam*. The proprietrix has no second issue. For any future exigencies, Jaggampeta C estate which is a gift to the husband of the present proprietrix is reserved. The tenants in an impartible estate will be more prosperous as the proprietor can attend more fully to the improvement and repairs of irrigation facilities than a holder of a partible zamindari whose zamindari will be dwindled into iotas and consequently will be less financially strong. In any case as my hon. Friend Sriman Biswanath Das put it on the 31st August 1926 in the last Council, the rights of the tenantry would not be affected at all.

"I submit that it is necessary in the public interests that this estate should not be broken up; and it is therefore desirable that this estate should be declared impartible and inalienable."

Mr. K. R. VENKATARAMA AYYAR :—" Mr. President, Sir, I beg to second the proposition of the Zamindar of Gollapalli. As has been explained by him, Jaggampeta A and D estates are a part of the ancient Zamindari of Peddapuram parts of which have already been declared to be an impartible estate within the meaning of the Impartible Estates Act, by the Kirlampudi Estates Act which was passed by this Council in 1926. This case falls within the principles on which the Madras Impartible Estates Act II of 1904 was applied to Doddappaiaicknur and Woyyur by Act II of 1918. It is therefore desirable that the Jaggampeta A and D Estates should be included in the schedule to the Madras Impartible Estates Act II of 1904."

The motion 'the Jaggampeta A and D Estates Impartible Estates Bill' was put to the House and carried.

Clauses (1), (2) and (3) and the preamble were each put to the House and adopted.

^a Published in the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 7th February 1923.

27th February 1928]

The ZAMINDAR OF GOLLAPALLI :—"Sir, I move that the Bill be passed into law."

Mr. K. R. VENKATARAMA AYYAR :—"I second the motion."

The motion was put and adopted and the Bill passed into law.

XI

MOTIONS ON MATTERS OF GENERAL PUBLIC INTEREST

PAY AND STATUS OF PANDITS AND MUNSHIS

* The hon. the PRESIDENT :—"I wish to know whether any hon. Member proposes to move the resolution." (After a pause.) As no hon. Member proposes to move the resolution it will be deemed to have been withdrawn."

Mr. T. C. SRINIVASA AYYANGAR :—"Sir, I beg to move the resolution."

* The hon. the PRESIDENT :—"The hon. Member should be alert; he can give notice of the resolution for the next meeting."

RECONSTITUTION OF THE SIRUGUPPA TALUK

* Mr. R. NAGAN GOWDA :—"Mr. President, Sir, I beg to move the resolution that stands in my name, viz.,—

'That this Council recommends to the Government that the old Siruguppa taluk of Bellary district which was abolished in 1923 be re-established.'

"In moving this resolution I would like to say a few words and commend it to the consideration of the House. Prior to 1910, the Bellary district had eight taluks. In 1910, on the recommendation of Sir William Meyer, Siruguppa taluk was constituted into a separate taluk with some of the villages of

Pay and status of Pandits and Munshis.

- | | |
|------|--------------------------------------|
| 1281 | Mr. A. KALESWARA RAO,— |
| 1298 | Mr. B. VENKATARATNAM,— |
| 1306 | Mr. C. N. MUTHURANGA MUDALIYAR,— |
| 1284 | Mr. A. V. BHANOJI RAO,— |
| 1276 | Mr. H. B. ARI GOWDER,— |
| 1307 | BASHEER AHMAD SAYEED SAHIB Bahadur,— |
| 1305 | Mr. C. R. PARTHASARATHI AYYANGAR,— |
| 1299 | Mr. C. V. VENKATARAMANA AYYANGAR,— |
| 1290 | Mr. D. NARAYANA RAJU,— |
| 1313 | Mr. K. SARASWA REDDI,— |
| 1286 | Sriman BISWANATH DAS Mahasayo,— |
| 1274 | Rao Sahib L. C. GURUSWAMI,— |
| 1283 | Mr. M. R. SETURATNAM AYYAR,— |
| 1270 | Mr. C. D. APPAVU CHETTIYAR,— |
| 1273 | Mr. R. SRINIVASA AYYANGAR,— |
| 1300 | Mr. J. BHIMAYYA,— |
| 1301 | Mr. W. P. A. SOUNDARAPANDIYA NADAR,— |
| 1282 | Mr. A. B. SHETTY,— |
| 1272 | Rao Sahib R. SRINIVASAN,— |
| 1277 | Mr. T. C. SRINIVASA AYYANGAR,— |
| 1303 | Rao Bahadur K. SITARAMA REDDI :— |

This Council recommends to the Government that Pandits and Munshis employed in Government Colleges, High Schools and Middle Schools be given the same pay and status as college assistants, school assistants and secondary grade teachers respectively.